

Inventory and Risk Assessment of Historic Caribou and Sheep Fences in the NWT

Climate Change Preparedness in the North Program Project 2017-06

Cultural Places Program Culture and Heritage Division Department of Education, Culture and Employment Government of the Northwest Territories

May 8, 2019

Introduction

Wooden caribou and sheep fences are typically long linear log structures built by past hunters to amass and lead animals to kill sites. Archaeological fence sites are important records of the communal hunting strategies used by the Indigenous peoples of the North. Fence locations also illuminate the historical ecology of caribou and sheep populations in the NWT as they were built to intercept animals along major migration routes or other areas of key habitat. With warmer air temperatures and changes to precipitation patterns leading to longer and more intense wildfire seasons in the boreal forest, surface archaeological features made from wood will be increasingly at risk of destruction from forest fires. In response to this increased risk, the Cultural Places Program (CPP) is making efforts to create detailed records of known fences using unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV), standard archaeological survey methods, and dendrochronological (tree ring dating) techniques. This process was successfully implemented at a caribou fence in the Mackenzie Mountains in 2016.

The NWT Archaeological Sites Database contains records for 19 wood fence sites including 8 fences in the Mackenzie Mountains and 11 fences located along the latitudinal tree line (Figure 1). Most of these sites were recorded more than 30 years ago, and their current conditions are unknown. In order to prioritize mitigation efforts for fences at risk of impact from forest fires, we have compiled information on the most recent observed conditions of these fence sites, as well as information on the history of forest fires in the vicinities of the fences. The goal of this project is to combine this information to identify the most significant and well-preserved fences that are at greatest risk from forest fires.

Methodology

Archaeological Site Data

We created the inventory of wood game fences by searching the NWT Archaeological Sites Database. We compiled information on the observed conditions of the fences from final archaeology permit reports and field notes on file at the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre.

Forest Fire History

We obtained the forest fire history information from the Forest Management Division's (ENR) Fire History layer (accessed from the GNWT's Spatial Data Warehouse). The data used in our analysis includes forest fire perimeter outlines from 1965 to 2016. The description of this layer indicates that the perimeter outlines include un-burnt islands and small internal lakes. The overall data accuracy should be assumed to be 1:250,000. Omission and accuracy errors are expected to be most prevalent for the earlier portions of the dataset (i.e. 1965-1975).

For each fence in the inventory, we calculated the straight-line distance to the nearest forest fire, the number of forest fires within 100 km of the fence, and the frequency of forest fires within 100 km of the fence. The frequency was calculated by dividing the number of fires within 100 km of a fence by 51 years (the number of years in the dataset).



Figure 1: Map showing recorded caribou and sheep fences in the NWT and forest fire history from 1965-2016. Map created by Julie Buysse and Kaylee Woldum, CPP.

Results

Table 1 presents the most recent observed conditions of the 19 caribou fences in the inventory. Relatively recent condition reports (i.e. since 2007) are only available for four fences; most of the fences have not been inspected in 30-40 years.

Table 2 presents the forest fire data compiled for each fence. None of the 19 fences in the inventory, which were all recorded after 1965, have been impacted by a forest fire that is recorded in the 1965-2016 fire history dataset, but 5 fences are located less than 10 km from a forest fire. Only one fence – NeRn-1 – is more than 100 km from the nearest forest fire. The frequency of forest fires within 100 km of a fence is greater than 1/year for five fences. The greatest frequency is 5.4 forest fires/year (within 100 km of JcNi-3).

Fence	Year First	Year(s)	Description	Most Recent
	Recorded	Revisited	•	Observed Condition
JiNc-3	1977		This fence is located near the	1977: Not observed.
			shore of Firedrake Lake. It	
			was described by an	
			informant as being several	
			kilometres long, and	
			consisting of "small wood	
			remnants." It was not	
			inspected by an	
			archaeologist.	
McRa-9	1979	2018	This fence is located on	2018: Most fence rails have fallen
			Caribou Point (Great Bear	to the ground but a near-
			Lake). It runs from the shore	continuous fence line is visible
			of McTavish Arm north to a	over a distance of approximately 2
			small inland lake (a distance	km. Sections of the fence
			of approximately 3 km). This	associated with wet, low-lying
			fence was mapped by GPS	terrain have largely rotted away.
			and photographed in 2018.	
MdPq-2	1979		This fence is located on Fault	In 1979, parts of the fence were
			River, hear the head of	on the verge of total
			Hornby Bay (Great Bear	disintegration, but the fence was
			Lake). It consists of	generally traceable along its
			approximately 500 m of	length.
			a small crock	
MdDc 20	1070		a small creek.	In 1070, the fance was weathered
IVIUPS-50	1979		Cosmo Creek on Caribou	and disintegrating Remnants
			Point It consists of several	were only present in greas of
			segments totaling about 250	exposed sand and rock but the
			m of fence	fence was generally traceable
				along its length
MePa-1	1979		This fence is located on	In 1979, fence rails that had not
			upper Bunn Creek, near the	fallen to the ground were in good
			head of Hornby Bay. It	condition. The fence was generally
			consists of two wings (500-	traceable along its length
			600 m long) leading to corral-	
			like structure.	
MePq-7	1979		This fence is located on inner	In 1979, the fence was highly
			Hornby Bay. It consists of a	deteriorated and was difficult to
			600-700 m long line of fence.	trace along most of its length
MePr-4	1979		This fence is located on lower	In 1979, the fence had mostly
			Bunn Creek, near the head of	collapsed. It was generally
			Hornby Bay. It consists of	traceable along its length but
			three segments of fence	there were numerous gaps in the
			totaling approximately 700 m	structure where the fence had

Table 1: Inventory of wood caribou and sheep fences in the NWT.

Fence	Year First	Year(s)	Description	Most Recent
	Recorded	Revisited		Observed Condition
			in length.	likely rotted away.
MePr-9	1979		This fence is located on	In 1979, the fence was in an
			middle Bunn Creek, near the	advanced state of deterioration. It
			head of Hornby Bay. It is a	was generally traceable along its
			600 m long drift fence.	length but had been totally lost in
				some areas.
ZAVR-030	1985		This fence is 1.5-2 km long	1985: Report indicates that
			caribou fence located on	continuous fence lines were
			Caribou point, consisting of	visible.
			two wings leading to a corral.	
			It was reported by a pilot; it	
			has never been inspected by	
NoDe 1	1002		an archaeologist.	1002: Not reported but accurred
Nekn-1	1993		former located poor the	1993: Not reported but assumed
			Herten river	to be infact at time of recording.
NIFD+ 1	1097		This fance is located on	1080: Condition reported as
NIIII-T	1987		Sadene Lake and consists of	stable. No further details
			two wings that converge to	available
			an oval-shaped corral	
KøRu-1	1975	2017	The fence sits on a level	2017: The fence has collapsed but
			bench above the Raven	a continuous fence line is visible
			Throat's River, and consists	and well-preserved.
			of approximately 1 km of	
			fallen timber fencing. This	
			fence was mapped by GPS	
			and photographed in 2017.	
KhRt-1	1975		This site is a possible moose	In 1975, the fence was considered
			fence associated with a	to be not well preserved and
			mineral lick.	obscured by deadfall. It was not
				possible to trace a coherent fence
	1000			line.
KJRT-1	1986		I his site is a possible sheep	In 1986, there was no evidence of
			Tence associated with a	a continuous fence line. Scattered
			Moosebern Biver	Tallen timbers were noted, but it
			Nioosenorn River.	fonce
KkRy-1	1983		This site is a sheen fence	In 1983 most of this fence had
	1,000		associated with a mineral	heen destroyed by rock slides No
			lick located on Sheen Fence	remnants were visible from the air
			Mountain. An attempt to	in 2005, indicating that it might
			relocate it in 2005 was	now be completely destroyed.
			unsuccessful.	. , ,
KjRx-1	2009	2010	This site consists of three	2009: The fence is largely
		2016	sections of fencing that	collapsed but a continuous fence

Fence	Year First	Year(s)	Description	Most Recent
	Recorded	Revisited		Observed Condition
		2017	together total >800 m in length. It is associated with a mineral lick. A high resolution map and orthophoto has been created for this fence using an UAV, and the fence has been dated through dendrochronological analysis.	line is visible and well-preserved.
LeRu-3	1989		This feature consists of a spruce pole sheep fence. It is located on the west side of the Carcajou River on the western slope of a north- south trending ridge.	In 1989 this fence had collapsed and was overgrown with birch shrubs, but the fence line was still visible.
LfRw-1	1989	2007	This site is a well-preserved boulder and pole sheep fence, located on a ridge near Katherine Creek. The fence lies perpendicular to the long axis of the ridge. A prominent sheep trail runs the length of the ridge. The fence was mapped by GPS in 2007.	2007: Wood snare poles collapsed but well-preserved.
ZAVR-013	1990		This site is described as a large sheep fence with an associated trail located on Gayna Creek at the north end of Gayna Lake. It is visible from the air. This site was observed by a helicopter pilot. It has not been investigated by an archaeologist.	1990: Partially destroyed by a landslide.

Table	2:	Wood	fences	and	forest	fire	history.	
IUDIC	_	noou	ICHCCS	unu	IULCOL	III C	motory.	

Fence	Distance to	Number of Forest	Frequency of Forest	Years of Forest Fires
	Nearest Forest	Fires Within 100	Fires Within 100 km of	Within 100 km of Fence
	Fire (km)	km of Fence	the Fence (# of	
			fires/year)	
JiNc-3	23.1	124	5.4	1967, 1969, 1970, 1971,
				1972, 1973, 1976, 1980,
				1981, 1982, 1984, 1985,
				1986, 1989, 1991, 1992,
				1993, 1994, 1997, 1998,
				2001, 2004, 2005, 2007,
				2008, 2010, 2013, 2014,
				and 2015
McRa-9	24.5	19	0.37	1974, 1975, 1976, 1979,
	_	-		1988, 1989, 1991, 1992,
				1996, and 2012
MdPa-2	8.5	15	0.29	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989.
- 1		-		1991, 1992, 2000, 2007,
				and 2014
MdPs-	28.1	15	0.29	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989.
30				1991, 1992, 2000, 2007.
				and 2014
MePa-1	17.9	13	0.25	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989,
			0.20	1991, 1992, 2000, 2007.
				and 2014
MePa-7	13.1	14	0.27	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989.
			U	1991, 1992, 2000, 2007.
				and 2014
MePr-4	16.0	14	0.27	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989.
_				1991, 1992, 2000, 2007.
				and 2014
MePr-9	16.6	14	0.27	1968, 1975, 1982, 1989,
				1991, 1992, 2000, 2007,
				and 2014
ZAVR-	29.3	12	0.24	1968, 1975, 1982, 1991,
030				1992, 2000, 2007, and
				2014
NeRn-1	105.8	0	0	
NfRt-1	59.1	13	0.25	1979, 1986, 1987, 1988,
_		_		1989, and 2012
KgRu-1	40.9	35	0.69	1969, 1972, 1982, 1983.
				1986, 1988, 1993, 1994.
				1995, 1998, 2000, 2010,
				and 2015
KhRt-1	16.2	54	1.06	1966, 1968. 1972. 1975.
				1977, 1979, 1982, 1983.
				1986, 1988, 1989, 1991.

Fence	Distance to Nearest Forest Fire (km)	Number of Forest Fires Within 100 km of Fence	Frequency of Forest Fires Within 100 km of the Fence (# of fires/year)	Years of Forest Fires Within 100 km of Fence
				1993, 1994, 1995, 1998,
				2000, 2012, and 2015
KjRt-1	8.2	87	1./1	1966, 1968, 1969, 1971,
				1972, 1973, 1974, 1975,
				1977, 1980, 1982, 1983,
				1986, 1989, 1993, 1994,
				2001 2004 2005 2006
				2001, 2004, 2003, 2000,
				2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016
KkRy-1	70	15	0.29	1972 1994 1996 1998
	7.0	10	0.25	2000, 2004, 2010, 2012
				and 2015
KiRx-1	65.3	16	0.31	1972, 1983, 1994, 1996.
,		-		1998, 2000, 2004, 2010,
				2012, and 2015
LeRu-3	5.2	127	2.49	1965, 1968, 1969, 1971,
				1972, 1973, 1975, 1976,
				1977, 1981, 1982, 1983,
				1984, 1987, 1989, 1993,
				1994, 1995, 1996, 1998,
				2001, 2003, 2004, 2005,
				2006, 2007, 2008, 2010,
				2011, 2012, 2014, 2015,
				and 2016
LtRw-1	7.6	111	2.18	1965, 1968, 1969, 1971,
				1972, 1973, 1975, 1976,
				1977, 1981, 1982, 1983,
				1984, 1987, 1989, 1993,
				1994, 1995, 1996, 1998,
				2001, 2003, 2004, 2003, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2010
				2000, 2007, 2008, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014, 2015
				and 2016
7AVR-	62.2	13	0.25	1967, 1971, 1974, 1976,
013				1977, 1980, 1982, 1983.
				1987, 1994, 1995, 1998.
				1999, 2003, 2004, 2007.
				2011, 2012, and 2014



Figure 2: Example of caribou fence (KjRx-1) in the Mackenzie Mountains. Photo: Tom Andrews.

Discussion and Conclusion

A common feature of all of the caribou fences in the inventory is that the surviving elements typically consist of very dry wood. We expect that they would be completely destroyed by a forest fire if one occurred in close proximity to a fence. The data presented in Table 2 provide a coarse filter for the relative risk of the fences to forest fires based on fire history data. Combining these data with the condition information in Table 1 offers some clues on what fences to target for detailed recording efforts in order to mitigate the risk of destruction by forest fire. KjRt-1, LfRw-1, and LeRu-3 stand out in that they have all had forest fires approach within 10 km, and have a frequency of forest fires within 100 km of greater than 1/year; however, the most recent observation of KjRt-1 indicates that a coherent fence was difficult to trace at this site, so it may not be worth investing in detailed data recording of this fence. JiNc-3 presents a similar situation. The frequency of forest fires within 100 km of this fence is very high at 5.4/year, but we have very little information on whether or not any of the fence remains.

In terms of further work, the approach to risk assessment used in this report could be refined by accounting for the size of fires near to the fences. Many of the forest fires closest to the fences are small in area. Another useful measure of risk may be to calculate the area burned within 100 km of each fence. More specific information about the characteristics of landscape associated with each fence may also enhance risk assessments. For example, LfRw-1 is located just above the tree line, which may lower

the risk of it being impacted by a fire, and many of the fences along the latitudinal tree line may be in areas of more patchy forest cover.

The Cultural Places Program will continue its work to update condition assessments for the fences that have not been inspected for several decades, and create detailed records of the most significant and well-preserved fences that are at greatest risk from forest fires.

References

Clark, D.W. 1987. *Archaeological Reconnaissance of Great Bear Lake*. National Museum of Man Mercury Series, Archaeological Survey of Canada No. 136. Canadian Museum of Civilization, Gatineau, Quebec.

Hanks, C.C. and Pokotylo, D.L. 1986. *A Preliminary Report on the Drum Lake Archaeological Field Training Programme 1985/1986.* Unpublished report on file at the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre.

Pokotylo, D.L. and Hanks, C.C. 1983. *Mountain Dene Ethnoarchaeology: Preliminary Perspectives*. Unpublished report on file at the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre.

Sharp, H. 1977. *The Wolf Lake Project*. Unpublished report on file at the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre.

Van Dyke, S. 1975. *Drift fences of the Redstone River*. Unpublished report on file at the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre.

Acknowledgments

We thank ENR's Climate Change Unit and CIRNAC for providing funding for this project through the Climate Change Preparedness in the North Program.